Exhibit S

REDACTED

From: [redacted]
Sent: Mon 9/17/2012 1:15 PM (GMT -7)
To: [redacted] Doc Review
Cc: Andrew Kutscher; Todd Riegler
Bcc:
Subject: Weekly Update- First Level Review
Attachments: 4964904_1.docx

Hi Everyone-

The attached document lists the most recent updates and changes to the review protocol as of 9/15/12. I've also included the prior updates for your convenience as well.

Enjoy!

[redacted]
Contract Attorney,
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
[redacted]
212.849.7000 Main Office Number
212.849.7100 FAX
[redacted]
www.quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

REVIEW PROTOCOL UPDATES AND CHANGES

for the week ending Friday, September 14

First Level Review

Responsiveness

- [redacted] docs responsive if they went to/came from/cc'd the [redacted] (as opposed to just *from* the [redacted]). And remember that the expansion of [redacted] coding only applies as to docs concerning the [redacted]. (9/5/12)

- [redacted] documents involving [redacted] that went to the [redacted] are responsive as [redacted] are also known as [redacted] (9/11/12)

- [redacted] If it doesn't concern one of our [redacted] then it's non-responsive. (9/7/12)

- [redacted] *Revisited*: Several people came across large [redacted] pertaining to [redacted]. Andrew said that these appear to be non-responsive [redacted] documents. (9/13/12) Here are some snippets in case you didn't see the examples that were circulated earlier:

[redacted]

99999.76613/4964904.1

Privilege

- *Broken Priv*: If you see a document where it's obviously that priv has been broken, you no longer have to tag it as priv. For example, if you come across an email that went outside of the [redacted] or their attorneys and consultants (and are sure that priv was broken) then you can skip the priv tag. (9/4/12)

MISC

- *.JAR files*: If you come across these files you can review these documents as normal as they are similar to .zip files. (9/11/12)

- *NSF Emails*: If you see a bare looking email, or a lot of space between entries, these are probably Lotus Notes emails. These emails have been tagged "NSF Emails" in a field called "NSF Emails." If you switch to "Native View" or "Extended Text" you can see the entire email. Along those same lines, if you're having trouble viewing documents try using the extracted view or native view before tagging the document "Technical Issues." ([redacted] 9/14/12)
[redacted]

- *Side Projects:* Alert Andrew if you get asked to work on a side project, unless he's already cc'd in the email. (8/29/12)

- *Email:* And don't forget to check your QE email at least once an hour. (8/29/12)

- *Review Center hours*: Going forward, the review center's hours will return to our original hours:
  Monday – Friday: 8:30 AM – 8:00 PM
  Saturday: CLOSED

PREVIOUS UPDATES BELOW

REVIEW PROTOCOL UPDATES AND CHANGES

for the week ending Wednesday, August 29

First Level Reviewers

Responsiveness

- Documents containing communications with the [redacted] that show the [redacted] were getting information about the [redacted] should be shared with the team.

Privilege

- A privileged document that is sent to a consultant working for the [redacted] should be tagged Privileged.

Review Protocol Updates and Changes
for the week ending Wednesday, August 22

First Level Reviewers

Responsiveness

- Discussions about doing a [redacted] of one of our [redacted] are Responsive.
- [redacted] documents are Non-Responsive unless they relate to the [redacted] litigations, then they are Responsive. If you're not sure, then call it Responsive.
- [redacted] documents discussing [redacted] are Responsive – even if the [redacted] being discussed is not an [redacted] of any of our [redacted].

Confidentiality

- Documents containing personal information about [redacted], should be tagged Highly Confidential.

Technical

- If you see a document with a "Production" radio button on the top of the screen (next to the "Viewer", "Native", and "Image" buttons) let Andrew or a second level reviewer know immediately.
- .CAD, .CAS, .NEX, and other files with unusual extensions that will not open in Relativity should be tagged Technical Issues.
- We will now be reviewing documents from [redacted]. We are currently out of batches from the [redacted], though more pre-[redacted] batches are being prepared.

REVIEW PROTOCOL UPDATES AND CHANGES

for the week ending Wednesday, August 15

First Level Reviewers

Responsiveness

- Documents that contain settings for computer programs found in the files of a [redacted] person may be Responsive. Similar documents found in the files of a [redacted] person are Non-Responsive if they do not concern [redacted].

- For communications between the [redacted], we are specifically interested in any private communications about the [redacted]. Discussions about the [redacted] are Responsive, but would not be particularly interesting. However, please let us know if you find information about the [redacted].

Privilege

- Drafts of 10Ks and drafts of 10Qs should be tagged Privileged if they include a discussion of the [redacted] If they do not include a discussion of the [redacted] then they are Non-Responsive.

Technical

- Interesting and Key tags should be used to tag documents that would be useful in deposition preparation and/or should be brought to the associates' attention. Please use these tags only to identify crucial documents.

- The custodian named "[redacted]" is a [redacted] LAN. In your billing description, you can call this custodian "[redacted]".

- If a document is not opening in viewer mode, you can select the dropdown were it says "Draft" and select "Normal", this will show the main body of the document. When you select "Preview" this will try to pull in all of the data.

REVIEW PROTOCOL UPDATES AND CHANGES

for the week ending Wednesday, August 8

First Level Reviewers

Broadening Responsiveness

- If a [redacted] looks like it could be one of ours, no need to look it up, just mark it Responsive.
- When you see an [redacted], mark it Responsive regardless of whether it is one of our [redacted] or otherwise. These documents are Responsive regardless of their date (i.e., [redacted] documents are Responsive).
- Documents that discuss [redacted] generally, even if they do not relate to a specific [redacted] are Responsive.
- Public newsletters discussing the [redacted] (from [redacted], consultants, news sources) are Responsive.

[redacted]

- [redacted] documents concerning [redacted] should be marked Responsive if they went to [redacted] decision-makers or if they went to the members of the [redacted] with oversight responsibilities for [redacted] The relevant [redacted] members are:

  [redacted] [redacted]

  [redacted] [redacted]

- [redacted] documents that are Non-Responsive no longer need to be tagged [redacted]

Specific to an Individual or Entity

- [redacted]
- [redacted]

- [redacted]

Privilege

- Use discretion marking documents Privileged. But, if a document has "a hint" of privilege, mark it Privileged. It is better to over-privilege at this stage than under-privilege. All documents marked Privileged will be re-reviewed later.

- People with /LEGAL/ in their email address should be treated as attorneys.

Technical

- Documents from [redacted] and later are no longer going to be reviewed.

- Documents whose native files end with .mdb or .snp cannot be viewed and should be marked Tech Issue.

- Review batches may contain documents from more than one custodian.